
EFESO
MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS

# RETHINKING FOOD LOSS IN AGRI-FOOD SUPPLY CHAINS

## UNVEILING THE HIDDEN DYNAMICS FOR SUSTAINABLE TRANSFORMATION

## ABSTRACT

The persistent and pervasive challenge of food loss within agri-food supply chains is a multifaceted issue that has far-reaching implications for the industry's economic sustainability and the global food ecosystem's resilience. This white paper is a pioneering exploration into the complex labyrinth of food loss, offering innovative insights, actionable strategies, and a comprehensive understanding of the intricacies of this challenge. We examine the multifaceted factors driving food loss, spanning structural, technological, behavioral, and governance dimensions. We seek to equip industry practitioners with the knowledge and inspiration to foster sustainable transformation.

Food loss within agri-food supply chains is not just a problem; it is a complex puzzle industry practitioners grapple with daily. Researchers posit that a substantial one-third of all food, approximating 1.3 billion tons annually and intended for human consumption, needs to be recovered or used. Subsequently, the World Wildlife Fund (WWF) -United Kingdom (2021) has elucidated a formidable estimation, signifying that 40% of the global food supply, approximately 2.5 billion tonnes, is subjected to waste. The United Nations Environment Programme (2021) has emphasised this concern, proclaiming that the magnitude of food loss and waste is double what was previously comprehended. This data underscores a pivotal inefficiency in global food distribution and utilisation and accentuates an imperative call to action for strategies mitigating food loss and waste, demanding strategic interventions and policy recalibrations to safeguard our global food supply chains.

Finding a solution to this challenge is not about offering a one-size-fits-all remedy but about embarking on a journey of discovery. It's about unravelling the intertwined threads of structural, technological, behavioral, and governance factors and understanding how they collectively contribute to food loss. This whitepaper, rooted in empirical data and distilled insights from existing literature, aims to provide a new perspective on the problem of food loss. We have taken a proactive approach to ensure the narrative is informative, engaging, and relevant to industry practitioners. Our goal is to captivate, inspire, and foster action within the industry.

## NAVIGATING THE FOOD LOSS MAZE: A FRESH PERSPECTIVE

**Our journey through the multifaceted challenge of food loss reveals a spectrum of intriguing findings, each contributing to a more comprehensive understanding of this pervasive issue.**

# 1. UNLOCKING STRUCTURAL COMPLEXITY

### A. The Inventory Paradox

Inventory management practices are central to the puzzle of food loss. In-depth analysis reveals that these practices vary significantly across supply chain stages and are a double-edged sword. At times, overstocking occurs, resulting in perishable goods being well-spent. In other instances, understocking creates situations where demand exceeds supply, leading to scarcity and, ultimately, more waste due to market unavailability. It is essential to consider customized inventory management strategies for different supply chain stages to address this challenge. For instance, a more flexible approach could be employed with real-time data exchange mechanisms to adjust inventory levels based on demand fluctuations. The utilisation of advanced technologies, such as blockchain and IoT sensors, provides the means for real-time tracking, allowing for the optimization of inventory levels.

### B. Packaging: Unsung Hero or Silent Culprit

Packaging is often overlooked when addressing food loss. However, our research reveals its pivotal role in food preservation and reduction of loss. Packaging materials and techniques can be a hero, ensuring food freshness and safety, or a silent culprit, contributing to unnecessary waste. The choice of packaging materials can influence food shelf life. For instance, vacuum packaging can extend the shelf life of meat and dairy products. In contrast, the overuse of non-biodegradable packaging materials contributes to environmental waste, underscoring the need for sustainable packaging solutions.

Moreover, the design of packaging can have a significant impact. Innovative packaging designs can enhance food preservation by controlling humidity, oxygen levels, and temperature. For example, modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) creates an environment that extends the shelf life of fresh produce and minimises waste.

### C. Tailoring Inventory and Packaging Solutions to Supply Chain Stages

Recognising that different supply chain stages have distinct requirements is crucial to addressing the inventory paradox and optimizing packaging. For example, the focus should be on efficient cold storage solutions and streamlined inventory management at the farmgate stage, where perishable produce is often abundant. This can involve implementing solar-powered cold storage facilities, particularly relevant in regions with unreliable electricity access. As products move through the supply chain, tailored packaging becomes more critical. In retail, consumer-ready packaging solutions can reduce food loss and enhance the shopping experience. This includes packaging that extends the shelf life of products and provides clear information on storage and handling.

# 2. TECHNOLOGICAL CROSSROADS

## A. Digital Divides

Disparities in technology adoption are not only a challenge but also an opportunity. Our findings reveal that the digital divide can hinder the adoption of advanced technologies, particularly in regions with limited access to digital infrastructure. However, bridging these divides offers the potential for significant improvements in food loss reduction.

To address the digital divide, it's essential to develop innovative solutions that cater to regions with limited technological access. This includes creating cost-effective and user-friendly technologies that can operate in low-resource settings. For instance, developing affordable, solar-powered cold storage units that require minimal infrastructure can benefit areas with limited electricity supply. Moreover, collaborative efforts between public and private sectors and international organizations can drive technology deployment in underserved regions. Initiatives focused on technology transfer and knowledge sharing can ensure cutting-edge solutions reach the most needed areas.

## B. Data Drought

The scarcity of data, particularly at the farmgate, poses a significant challenge to effective food loss management. However, this challenge is not impossible, as innovative data solutions can reshape the landscape of food loss reduction. One approach is leveraging existing data sources, such as satellite imagery and mobile phone data, to gather information on agricultural production, harvest, and distribution. This data can be combined with on-ground sensors and IoT devices to create a comprehensive data ecosystem that enables real-time monitoring and decision-making.

Furthermore, developing open-data platforms can foster data sharing and collaboration across the supply chain. These platforms allow stakeholders to contribute and access data, creating a transparent and interconnected data ecosystem. In addition, applying artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning can enhance predictive capabilities, allowing supply chain actors to foresee and address potential food loss events. For example, AI algorithms can analyse data from various sources to predict optimal harvest times, manage inventory levels, and optimise transportation routes, all of which reduce food loss.

# 3. DECIPHERING HUMAN BEHAVIOR

## A. The Human Element

Human behavior plays a significant role in food loss, from post-harvest handling practices to supply chain management. Understanding and influencing behaviour is a complex but crucial aspect of food loss reduction. Behavioral economics offers a fresh perspective on addressing this challenge. Applying principles such as loss aversion and social norms makes it possible to design interventions that encourage behaviors leading to reduced food loss. For instance, creating awareness about the environmental impact of food waste and emphasising the economic benefits of reducing waste can influence consumer behavior.

### B. Innovative Approaches to Human Behavior Change

Innovative strategies can transform behavior at every stage of the supply chain. For instance, the gamification of supply chain management can motivate actors to make choices that minimize food loss. Digital platforms can introduce game-like elements that reward efficient inventory management and optimized transportation routes. Incentive structures can also be innovatively designed to encourage positive behaviors. Supply chain actors are likelier to adopt practices that minimize waste by providing financial rewards or other incentives for reducing food loss. Such incentives can be tailored to specific roles and responsibilities within the supply chain, making them more effective. Adopting virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) technologies can provide immersive training experiences for supply chain actors. VR simulations can replicate real-world scenarios, allowing individuals to practice proper post-harvest handling techniques, inventory management, and transportation best practices.

## 4. THE GOVERNANCE MATRIX

### A. Policies as Catalysts

Governance structures, including policies and regulations, can either propel or impede food loss reduction efforts. Our research shows a well-aligned governance framework is crucial for successfully implementing food loss reduction initiatives. One innovative aspect of governance is the development of food loss reduction targets and indicators at the national and international levels. These targets can drive change, encouraging governments, businesses, and organizations to take concrete actions toward reducing food loss.

Furthermore, governments can explore policy instruments, such as tax incentives and subsidies, to encourage businesses and individuals to invest in food loss reduction technologies and practices. For instance, tax incentives for investments in solar-powered cold storage units or composting facilities can stimulate action. In addition, international collaboration on food loss reduction can yield innovative solutions. Agreements and partnerships between countries can facilitate knowledge sharing, technology transfer, and coordinated efforts to address food loss on a global scale.

### B. Multi-Level Governance

Effective governance is more comprehensive than a single level. It requires coordination across different levels, from local to national to international. This multi-level governance approach can ensure that policies and regulations support food loss reduction efforts at all supply chain stages. For instance, at the local level, municipalities can implement regulations that promote composting and food waste recycling. These actions can complement national-level policies aimed at reducing food loss. International agreements on food loss reduction can facilitate the exchange of best practices and technologies. Establishing dedicated food loss reduction agencies or units within government structures can streamline efforts and ensure a coherent approach to the challenge. These agencies can serve as hubs for research, policy development, and coordination among various stakeholders.

## THE PATH FORWARD: FROM INSIGHTS TO ACTION

The innovative insights presented in this whitepaper offer a rich landscape of opportunities for the industry and its stakeholders to tackle food loss in agri-food supply chains. It is now time to transform these insights into action.

1

### Collaboration and knowledge sharing

Collaboration is the cornerstone of success in addressing food loss. Industry players, governmental bodies, international organizations, and research institutions must collaborate to develop and implement innovative solutions. Knowledge-sharing platforms can facilitate the exchange of best practices and lessons learned.

2

### Investment in technology and infrastructure

Investment in advanced technologies and infrastructure is a priority. This includes the development of affordable and accessible technology solutions for regions with limited resources. Deploying IoT devices, blockchain, and AI can revolutionise supply chain management.

3

### Data ecosystem development

The creation of a comprehensive data ecosystem is fundamental. Open-data platforms that enable data sharing across supply chain actors should be established. Developing AI and machine learning tools for data analysis can also enhance predictive capabilities.

4

### Behavioral change initiatives

Innovative approaches to behavioral change, such as gamification and incentive structures, should be integrated into supply chain management practices. Virtual reality and augmented reality training tools can be adopted to enhance the skills of supply chain actors.

5

### Policy and Governance Alignment

Policies and regulations at all levels of governance must align with food loss reduction goals. Governments should explore innovative policy instruments and international collaboration should be encouraged.

## CONCLUSION

This whitepaper is not just a document; it's a call to action. It's an invitation to embark on a journey of transformation. The insights provided here are the first steps in a process of sustainable change within the agri-food supply chain industry. Food loss is a multifaceted challenge but can be overcome with innovation, collaboration, and determination.

The future of food loss reduction lies in the hands of those who are willing to transform these insights into impactful actions. Together, we can build a more sustainable and resilient global food ecosystem, improving food security, reducing environmental impact, and increasing economic prosperity.

# RESEARCH AND INNOVATION PRIORITIES

The insights presented in this whitepaper pave the way for future research and innovation priorities. We must continue exploring these areas to refine our understanding and develop even more effective strategies to combat food loss.

**Advancing Packaging Solutions**
Future research should focus on developing packaging materials and techniques that extend shelf life and minimise environmental impact. Innovations in biodegradable packaging materials, smart packaging with real-time monitoring capabilities, and sustainable packaging design can significantly reduce food loss.

**Closing the Digital Divide**
In regions with limited access to technology, efforts should be directed towards developing cost-effective, low-infrastructure solutions. Research can further explore the adaptability of existing technologies to these settings, considering factors such as low energy consumption and ease of maintenance.

**Data Revolution**
The evolution of data collection and analysis tools continues to offer promising avenues for research. Investigating data-sharing protocols, security measures, and the integration of AI into data ecosystems can further advance food loss reduction efforts. Moreover, understanding the ethical considerations surrounding data collection and usage is an emerging area of research.

**Human-Centric Solutions**
Behavioral change remains a critical area of research. Future studies can critically explore the design and evaluation of behavioral interventions, considering cultural and regional differences. Research should also explore the role of educational institutions, civil society organizations, and the media in promoting awareness and behaviour change.

**Policy Effectiveness**
Governance structures and policy instruments should be continuously evaluated and refined. Research can investigate the impact of various policies and regulations on food loss reduction, identifying best practices that can be adopted on a broader scale. Additionally, exploring the role of public-private partnerships in implementing policy objectives is an area of growing importance.

**Multi-level Governance**
Further research is needed to understand the dynamics of multi-level governance in food loss reduction. Comparative studies can shed light on the effectiveness of different governance models in various contexts. Research should also consider the role of non-governmental actors, such as industry associations and community organizations, in driving governance initiatives.

**Holistic Approaches**
A systems thinking approach is crucial for understanding the interdependencies within the agri-food supply chain. Future research can explore the holistic dynamics of these systems, exploring how interventions in one segment of the supply chain ripple through the entire ecosystem. This can include modelling the cascading effects of interventions and optimizing resource allocation.

**Sustainability Metrics**
Developing robust metrics for measuring sustainability in food loss reduction is an emerging field. Research should focus on creating comprehensive frameworks for assessing food loss reduction initiatives' environmental, economic, and social impacts. These metrics can guide decision-makers and stakeholders in evaluating the long-term sustainability of their efforts.

**Circular Economy Models**
Adopting circular economy principles within the food supply chain is a promising avenue for research. Exploring how circular models, such as food recovery and redistribution networks, can be integrated into supply chain practices and how they impact food loss reduction is an area of growing importance.

**Capacity Building and Education**
Developing training programs, curricula, and educational resources for supply chain actors is vital. Research can assess the effectiveness of these programs and identify innovative methods for building the capacity of individuals and organizations to tackle food loss.

**Climate Change Adaptation**
As climate change continues to impact agricultural practices, research should focus on strategies to adapt supply chains to changing conditions. This can include innovative approaches to weather forecasting, resilient infrastructure, and crop diversification.

**Post-Pandemic Considerations**
The COVID-19 pandemic has disrupted supply chains and highlighted vulnerabilities. Future research should explore how lessons from the pandemic response can inform food loss reduction strategies, including supply chain resilience and contingency planning.

**Global Collaboration**
The global nature of the food supply chain demands international cooperation. Research should focus on innovative mechanisms for cross-border collaboration, including agreements on technology sharing, standardised metrics, and coordinated action plans.

## INNOVATIVE INSIGHTS: A CATALYST FOR CHANGE

The insights and priorities presented in this whitepaper are not isolated elements but a dynamic catalyst for change within the agri-food supply chain industry. Industry practitioners, researchers, policymakers, and international organizations must unite to turn these insights into tangible solutions. This collective effort will drive food loss reduction and contribute to a more sustainable, resilient, and prosperous global food ecosystem.

In conclusion, the challenge of food loss is vast but possible. It demands a comprehensive understanding, innovative solutions, and a commitment to change. The insights provided guide the industry towards a future where food loss is minimised, sustainability is maximised, and food security is enhanced. It is a future where the hidden dynamics of food loss are no longer a mystery but a manageable challenge that the industry is fully equipped to address. Together, we can transform this vision into reality.

## REFERENCES

*Despoudi, S. (2020). Challenges in reducing food losses at producers' level: the case of Greek agricultural supply chain producers. Industrial Marketing Management. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2020.09.022*

*Ghasemi, E., Lehoux, N., & Rönnqvist, M. (2022). Coordination, cooperation, and collaboration in production-inventory systems: a systematic literature review. International Journal of Production Research, 1–32. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2022.2093681*

*Gustavsson, J., Cederberg, C., Sonesson, U., Van Otterdijk, R., & Meybeck, A. (2011). Global food losses and food waste.*

*O'Connor, J., Skeaff, S., Bremer, P., Lucci, G., & Mirosa, M. (2023). A critical review of on-farm food loss and waste: future research and policy recommendations. Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems, 38, e24.*

*UNEP, D. (2021). Partnership and United Nations Environment Programme (2021). Reducing consumer food waste using green and digital technologies.*

*WWF, U. (2021). Driven to waste: The global impact of food loss and waste on farms. Retrieved from WWF: https://www.worldwildlife.org/publications/driven-to-waste-the-global-impact-of-food-loss-and-waste-on-farms.*

## ABOUT THE AUTHORS


**Donato Masi**

Senior Manager, Operations

**Philip Bruty-Brown**

Principal, Operations


**Co-Creating the Industrial Future**

www.efeso.com